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It [positive deviance] is the most fascinating idea anyone has had to solve the problem [of hospital-acquired infections] in a century. (Gawande, 2007, p. 27)

Most health communication campaigns, especially those that draw upon the diffusion of innovations tradition, are premised on the following tenets (Rogers, 2003; Singhal & Dearing, 2006; Singhal, 2010): that new health information or ideas come from the outside and are promoted by a change agency through expert change agents, who use persuasive communication strategies to educate their client audience.

In this short essay, an alternative conceptualization of diffusing health innovations is broached whose premise is that innovative ideas are often lurking within the system, where the change agents’ primary role is to facilitate a process whereby which the community can self-discover these ideas, and where dialogue and “social proof” result in an organic spread of the innovation, in contrast to passive adopters buying into a change agency’s prescription.

This alternative approach to diffusing health information or ideas is known as the positive deviance (PD) approach. PD is an approach that enables communities to discover the wisdom they already have, and finds a way to amplify it (Pascale & Sternin, 2005; Singhal & Dura, 2009). We illustrate the key tenets of the PD approach through an example of its application in dramatically reducing hospital-acquired infections in U.S. health care settings.

Despite being 100% preventable, hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) kill 100,000 people each year in the United States, mainly because hygiene protocols are compromised. That is more deaths than breast cancer, HIV/AIDS, and road accidents combined. Adherence to hand hygiene protocols in U.S. hospitals is pitifully low—averaging between 35 and 40% (Singhal & Greiner, 2008). That means an interaction between a health care worker and a patient in a U.S. hospital, more than likely, carries the risk of infection transfer. A leading bacterial source of HAIs is methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), a deadly pathogen resistant to commonly used antibiotics. MRSA infections have quintupled in the United States in the past decade, and MRSA is a formidable enemy, for it can survive for up to 6 weeks on surfaces and transmits easily through contact.

Amidst this alarming reality, a handful of U.S. hospitals—Billings Clinic in Montana, VA hospitals in Pittsburgh, Albert Einstein in Philadelphia, Franklin Square Hospital Center in Baltimore, and the University of Louisville Hospital Center—have shown sharp, almost unbelievable, declines in MRSA infections in the past three years, ranging from 84 to 30% (Buscell, 2008; Lloyd, Buscell, & Lindberg, 2008; Singhal, Buscell, & McCandless, 2009; Singhal & Greiner, 2008).

What are these hospitals doing differently? As opposed to the traditional approach of focusing on what does not work, and communicating with, motivating, and rewarding employees to fix those problems, these hospitals are focusing on what works, believing that among its employees are individuals who practice certain simple yet uncommon behaviors that prevent MRSA transmission. For instance, in these hospitals, the following uncommon behaviors were observed:

- A patient who refuses to make eye contact with a doctor or nurse if he does not hear the tap run or the sanitizer’s dispensing swish. He then alternatively looks at the wash basin and the health care provider until the nonverbal equivalent of “please wash your hands” is understood.
- A pediatric anesthesiologist who carries her little patients in her arms to the operation theater. She notes that the
act of carrying a child, in contrast to wheeling the child in, has a calming effect on the baby, is highly reassuring to the parents, and creates a compassionate ambience in the surgical theater. Further, a calm baby means that it is easier to administer anesthesia, hook IVs, and such.

- An intensive care unit (ICU) nurse who is not afraid to hand a sanitized gown and a pair of gloves to a surgeon who drops in to check on his patient. While most nurses dare not verbally confront a surgeon, she knows that a cordial attitude and warm smile helps her overcome the power differentials.

These individuals are “positive deviants” because their “deviant” behaviors, many of them communicative, are not the norm, and “positive” as they model the desirable MRSA-prevention behaviors. These positive deviants—patients, doctors, and nurses—make distinctive and valuable contributions to enhancing quality of care and patient safety. In the PD approach, through a set of dialogue and discovery processes, essentially communicative processes, the multiple identities and contributions of the positive deviants are collectively mobilized and amplified for the larger public good. As more people discover these positive deviants among them (social proof) and learn how they practice safety, the norm across the institution begins to shift (Singhal, 2010).

Evaluations of PD initiatives in the United States and in more than three dozen countries show that one of the main reasons why PD works is because the community owns the solution, self-discovers it through dialogic inquiry, and there is “social proof” that those ideas can be implemented locally with no extra resources (Dura & Singhal, 2009; Pascale, Sternin, & Sternin, 2005, May). Your company’s secret change agents. *Harvard Business Review*, pp. 1–11. Pascale, R. T., & Sternin, J. (2010). The power of positive deviance: How unlikely innovators solve the world’s toughest problems. Boston: Harvard University Press.

Health communication scholars and practitioners can gain much from further incorporating this asset-based approach in their quest to improve the quality of life of individuals and communities.
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